

TROCHES think they will be of a permanent advantage to use."

BROWN'S REV. E. ROWLEY, A. M.
President of Athens College, Tenn.

TROCHES Sold by all Druggists at TWENTY FIVE CENTS A BOX

nov 24 w & t - w 6 misn

THE MERRYMAN CASE.

OPINION OF CHIEF JUSTICE TANEY.

(CONCLUDED.)

Blackstone, in his *Commentaries*, (1st vol., 137,) states in the following words:

"To make imprisonment lawful, it must be either by process from the Courts of Judicature or by warrant from some legal officer having authority to commit to prison." And the people of the United Colonies, who had themselves lived under its protection while they were British subjects, were well aware of the necessity of this safeguard of their personal liberty. And no one can believe that in framing a government, the rights and liberties of the citizens against executive encroachment and oppression, they would have conferred on the President a power which the history of England had proved to be dangerous and oppressive in the hands of the Crown, and which the people of England had compelled it to surrender after a long and obstinate struggle on the part of the English Executive to usurp and retain it.

The right of the subject to the benefit of the writ of *habeas corpus* must be recollected, was one of the great points of controversy during the long struggle in England between arbitrary government and free institutions, and must therefore have strongly attracted the attention of statesmen engaged in framing a new, and, as they supposed, a freer government than the one which they had thrown off by the revolution. For from the earliest history of the Common Law, if a person was imprisoned—no matter by what authority—he had a right to go to the Court and demand his release before the King's Bench, and if no specific offense was charged against him in the warrant of commitment, he was entitled to be forthwith discharged; and if the offense was charged which was bailable in its character, the Court was bound to set him at liberty on bail. And the most exciting contests between the Crown and the people of England, from the time of Magna Charta, were in relation to the privileges of this writ, and they continued until the passage of the statute of 31st Charles II., commonly known as the great *habeas corpus* act.

This statute put an end to the struggle, and finally and firmly secured the liberty of the subject from the usurpation and oppression of the executive branch of the government. It nevertheless conferred no new right upon the subject, but only secured a right already existing; for although the right could not be justly denied, there was no effectual remedy against its violation. Until the statute of the 13th of William II. (the Statute in Regis) the power of the King, and the influence which he exerted over timid, time-serving and partisan judges often induced them, upon some pretext or another, to refuse to discharge the party, although he was entitled to it by law, or delayed their decisions from time to time, so as to prolong the imprisonment of those who were obnoxious to the King for their political opinions, or had incurred his resentment in any other way.

The great and inestimable value of the *habeas corpus* act of the 31st Charles II., is shown in the provisions which compelled courts and judges, and all parties concerned, to perform their duties promptly, in the manner specified in the statute.

A passage in *Blackstone's Commentaries*, showing the ancient state of the law upon this subject, and the abuses which were practiced through the power and influence of the Crown, and a short extract from *Hallam's Constitutional History*, stating the circumstances which gave rise to the passage of this statute, explain briefly, but fully, all that is material to this subject.

Blackstone, in his *Commentaries on the Laws of England*, 3d vol., 133-134, says: "To assert an absolute exemption from imprisonment in all cases, is inconsistent with every idea of law and political society, and in the end would destroy all civil liberty, by rendering its protection impossible."

"But the glory of the English law consists in clearly defining the times, the causes and the extent, when the subject may be taken into the imprisonment of the subject may be lawful. This it is which induces the absolute necessity of expressing, upon every commitment the reason for which it is made, that the Court upon a *habeas corpus* may examine into its validity, and, according to the circumstances of the case, may discharge, admit to bail, or remand the prisoner."

"And yet early in the reign of Charles I., the Court of King's Bench, relying on some arbitrary precedents, (and these perhaps misunderstood,) determined that they would not, upon a *habeas corpus*, either bail or deliver a prisoner, though committed without any cause assigned, in case he was committed by the special command of the King or the Lords of the Privy Council. This drew on a parliamentary inquiry, and produced the Petition of Right—3d Charles I.—which recites this illegal judgment, and enacts that no freeman hereafter shall be so imprisoned or detained. But when in the following year Mr. Selden and others were committed by the Lords of the Council in pursuance of His Majesty's special command, under a general charge of 'notable contempts, and stirring up sedition against the King and the government,' the judges delayed for two terms (including also the long vacation) to deliver an opinion how far such a charge was bailable. And when at length they agreed that it was, they, however, annexed a condition of finding sureties for their good behavior, which still protracted their imprisonment. Chief Justice Selden, Nicholas Hyde, at the same time declaring: if they were again remanded for that cause, perhaps the Court would not afterwards grant a *habeas corpus*, being already made acquainted with the cause of the imprisonment."

But this was heard with indignation and astonishment by every lawyer present, according to Mr. Selden's own account of the matter, whose resentment was not cooled at the distance of four and twenty years."

It is worthy of remark that the offenses charged against the prisoner in this case, and relied on as a justification for the arrest and imprisonment, in their nature and character, and in the loose and vague manner in which they are stated, bear a striking resemblance to those assigned in the warrant for the arrest of Mr. Selden. And yet, even at that day, the warrant was regarded as such a flagrant violation of the rights of the subject, that the delay of the time-serving judges to set him at liberty upon the charge, was issued in his behalf excited universal indignation at the bar. The extract from *Hallam's Constitutional History* is equally impressive and equally in point. It is in vol. 4, p. 14:

"It is a very common mistake, and not only among foreigners, but many from whom some knowledge of our constitutional laws might be expected, to suppose that this statute of Charles II enlarged in a great degree our liberties, and forms a sort of epoch in their history. But through a very beneficial enactment, and eminently remedied in many cases of illegal imprisonment, introduced without any addition to the judicial authorities, assumes to himself the judicial power in the District of Maryland; undertakes to decide what constitutes the crime of treason or rebellion; what evidence (if, indeed, he required any) is sufficient to support the accusation and justify the commitment, and commits the party without having a hearing even before himself, to close custody in a strongly garrisoned fort, to be there held, it would seem, during the pleasure of those who committed him. The Constitution provides, as I have before

discharged him, according to the nature of the charge. This writ issued of right and could not be refused by the Court. It was not to bestow an immunity from arbitrary imprisonment, which is abundantly provided for in *Magna Charta*, (if indeed it were not more ancient,) that the statute of Charles II was enacted, but to cut off the abuses by which the Government's law of power and the sacred rights of Crown lawyers had impaired so fundamental a privilege."

While the value set upon the writ in England has been so great that the removal of the abuses which embarrassed its enjoyment have been looked upon as almost a new grant of liberty to the subject, it is not to be wondered at that the continuance of the writ thus made effective should have been the object of the most jealous care. Accordingly, no power in England short of that of Parliament has ever been authorized to suspend the operation of the writ of *habeas corpus*. I quote again from *Blackstone* (1 *Comm.*, 136): "But the happiness of our Constitution is that it is not left to the executive power to determine when the danger of the State is so great as to render this measure expedient. It is the Parliament only, or legislative power, that, whenever it sees proper, can authorize the Crown, by suspending the *habeas corpus* for a short and limited time, to imprison suspected persons without giving any reasons for so doing." And if the President of the United States may suspend the writ, then the Constitution of the United States has conferred upon him more regal and absolute power over the liberty of the citizen than the people of England have thought it safe to intrust to the Crown—a power which the Queen of England could not exercise at this day, and which could not have been lawfully exercised by the sovereign, even in the reign of Charles I.

But I do not wish to form any judgment upon this great question from misapprehensions between the English Government and our own, or the commentaries of English jurists, or the decisions of English courts, although upon this subject they are entitled to the highest respect, and are justly regarded and received as authoritative by our courts of justice. To guide me to a right conclusion, I have the *Commentaries on the Constitution of the United States* of the late Mr. Justice Story, not only one of the most eminent jurists of our country, but for a long time one of the brightest ornaments of the Supreme Court of the United States, and also the clear and authoritative decision of that Court itself, given more than half a century since, and conclusively establishing the principles I have above stated.

Mr. Justice Story, speaking in his *Commentaries on the habeas corpus* clause in the Constitution, says:

"It is obvious that cases of a peculiar emergency may arise, which may justify, nay, even require, the temporary suspension of any right to the writ. But as it has frequently happened in foreign countries, and even in England, that the writ has, upon various pretexts and occasions, been suspended, whereby persons apprehended upon suspicion have suffered a long imprisonment, sometimes from design, and sometimes because they were forgotten, the right to suspend it is expressly confined to cases of rebellion or invasion, where the public safety may require it. A very just and wholesome restriction, which is shown to be a fruitful means of oppression, capable of being abused in bad times to the worst of purposes. Hitherto no suspension of the writ has ever been authorized by Congress since the establishment of the Constitution. It would seem, as the power is given to Congress to suspend the writ of *habeas corpus* in cases of rebellion or invasion, that the right to judge whether the exigency had arisen, must exclusively belong to that body."

—3 *Story's Comm. on the Constitution*, section 1, 339.

And Chief Justice Marshall, in delivering the opinion of the Supreme Court in the case of *ex parte Bollman and Swartwout*, uses this decisive language in 4 *Cranch*, 95: "It may be worthy of remark that this act (speaking of the one under which I am proceeding) was passed by the first Congress of the United States, sitting under a Constitution which had declared that the privilege of the writ of *habeas corpus* should not be suspended, unless, when in cases of rebellion or invasion, the public safety may require it. And yet, upon the immediate influence of this injunction, they must have felt, with peculiar force, the obligation of providing efficient means by which this great Constitutional privilege should receive life and activity; for if the means be not in existence, the privilege itself would be lost, although no law for its suspension should be enacted. Under the impression of this obligation they gave to all the Courts the power of awarding writs of *habeas corpus*."

And again, in page 101:

"If any time the public safety should require the suspension of the powers vested by this act in the Courts of the United States, it is for the Legislature to say. That question depends on political considerations, on which the Legislature is to decide. Until the legislative will be expressed, this Court can only see its duty, and must obey the law."

But I can add nothing to these clear and emphatic words of my great predecessor. And the question before me is, whether the military authority in this case has gone far beyond the mere suspension of the privilege of the writ of *habeas corpus*. It has, by force of arms, thrust aside the judicial authorities and officers to whom the Constitution has confided the power and duty of interpreting and administering the laws, and substituted a military government in its place, to be administered and executed by military officers; for at the time these proceedings were had the prisoner had committed no offense against the laws of the United States, it was his duty to give information of the fact, and the evidence to support it, to the District Attorney; and it would then have become the duty of that officer to bring the matter before the District Judge or Commissioner, and if there was sufficient legal evidence to justify his arrest, the Judge or Commissioner would have issued a warrant to the Marshal to arrest him, and upon the hearing of the party would have held him to bail, or committed him to trial, according to the character of the offense as it appeared in the testimony, or would have discharged him immediately if there was not sufficient evidence to support the accusation.

There was no danger of any obstruction or resistance to the action of the civil authorities, and therefore no reason whatever for the interposition of the military. And yet, under these circumstances, a military officer, stationed in Pennsylvania, without giving any information to the District Attorney, and without any application to the judicial authorities, assumes to himself the judicial power in the District of Maryland; undertakes to decide what constitutes the crime of treason or rebellion; what evidence (if, indeed, he required any) is sufficient to support the accusation and justify the commitment, and commits the party without having a hearing even before himself, to close custody in a strongly garrisoned fort, to be there held, it would seem, during the pleasure of those who committed him. The Constitution provides, as I have before

said, that "no person should be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law." It declares that "the right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no warrant shall issue but upon probable cause, supported by oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized." It provides that the party accused shall be entitled to a speedy trial in a Court of justice.

And these great and fundamental laws, which Congress itself could not suspend, have been disregarded and suspended, like the writ of *habeas corpus*, by a military order, supported by force of arms. Such is the case now before me, and I can only say, that if the authority which the Constitution has confided to the Judiciary Department and judicial officers, may thus, upon any pretext or under any circumstances, be usurped by the military power at its discretion, the people of the United States are no longer living under a government of laws, but every citizen holds life, liberty, and property at the will and pleasure of the army officer in whose military district he may happen to be found.

In such a case my duty was too plain to be mistaken. I have exercised all the power which the Constitution and laws confer on me; but that power has been resisted by a force too strong for me to overcome. It is possible that the officer who has incurred this grave responsibility may have misunderstood his instructions, and exceeded the authority intended to be given him. I shall therefore order all the proceedings in this case, with my opinion, to be filed and recorded in the Circuit Court of the United States for the District of Maryland, and direct the Clerk of that Court to transmit a copy of the proceedings to the President of the United States. It will then remain for that high officer, in fulfillment of his constitutional obligation, "to take care that the laws be faithfully executed," to determine what measures he will take to cause the civil process of the United States to be respected and enforced.

R. B. TANEY.

Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States.

Dr. Blackburn's Letter to the Journal.

Dr. L. P. Blackburn has addressed a letter to the Journal, explanatory of his connection with the recent purchase of arms in the South for the State of Kentucky.

Bold and fearless in action, honest and candid in statement, with nothing to disguise or conceal, his letter affords a complete vindication of himself and of Gov. Magoffin against all the ill-natured and malignant charges of desperate partisans, who love darkness rather than light, because their deeds are evil, and who, for that reason, have sought to envelop a straight-forward and proper transaction in mystery, to the injury of those concerned in it and of the State.

Here is the Doctor's letter:

To the Editors of the Louisville Journal:

GENTLEMEN: For some weeks my name has frequently appeared in your journal in connection with that of Gov. Magoffin, respecting the arms purchased by me in New Orleans for the State of Kentucky. I have no wish or desire to engage in a newspaper controversy, nor do I intend to be drawn into with a journal so formidable as the one you conduct. But justice to Gov. Magoffin (who has been so violently assailed) demands that I should make a statement of the transaction so frequently referred to by you.

After the proclamation of Mr. Lincoln, calling upon the State of Kentucky for men for the purpose of subduing the rebels and traitors of the South, as he pleases to term them, which demand was promptly refused by Gov. Magoffin, that refusal being sanctioned by you and indorsed by seven-eighths of the people of Kentucky, Gov. Magoffin came to Louisville and applied to the banks of this city for a loan of money sufficient to arm the State. Some of the banks promptly responded to his demands, whilst others refused to loan except on such conditions as they might prescribe. The Northern troops were then concentrating at Cairo—no one knowing their intentions.

Every citizen of Kentucky seemed anxious that the State should be armed. The people of Cincinnati had seized a quantity of arms and munitions of war belonging to the State of Arkansas, then a submissive State of the Federal Government. It was clear that Kentucky could not procure arms from the North at that time. The only point where there was a possibility of getting them was in New Orleans. Gov. Magoffin requested me to go to the South and purchase arms and ammunition. Believing that I could obtain arms in the South, and wishing to place the soldiers of Kentucky in a position to defend the honor and soil of their State, I consented to go. After reaching the city of New Orleans, I found that there were no improved arms in the city for sale; the only arms to be had were the old muskets, which I well knew the soldiers of Kentucky would expect improved arms, but they were not to be had. A Northern foe, who was supposed, threatened to invade her soil, she perfectly powerless, unarmed, I at once bought these muskets, believing that Kentucky should have some weapons with which they could defend the honor of their State. It was with guns no better than those that Kentuckians had heretofore gained honor and renown upon every battle-field.

It is true that these guns were sold a few days before my arrival at six dollars apiece. But it is also true that I was offered fourteen dollars apiece for them by Mr. Morgan, agent of Tennessee. I gave eight for them. It is not true that these guns were ever purchased by George Law. The State armament at Frankfort considers them worth twelve dollars. When I left Kentucky I was told by the first military man in your State that there was not powder enough in Kentucky for ten thousand rounds. I purchased all the powder on sale in New Orleans at reasonable prices. Some three days after reaching the city, I received a dispatch from a friend in Louisville, stating that Mr. Sherman, the District Judge of Kentucky, had been ordered by the Governor to have selected me as his agent, I being an open, uncompromising secessionist. I at once turned over my purchases to Mr. Norton, of the house of Hewitt, Norton & Co., having never received one dollar, either from Gov. Magoffin or the State of Kentucky. There was no attempt or desire, either by Gov. Magoffin or myself, to conceal the object of my mission. I first thought of sending the arms by the river. I then telegraphed to Lexington, and the next day the Illinois Northern troops at Cairo might intercept them, believing they were not without their emissaries in Louisville ever ready to impart any information prejudicial to the South. I was invited to address the citizens of New Orleans the evening I arrived. I spoke to ten thousand Louisianians, all eager to know what course the State of Kentucky would take. I told them that I was powerless, a chained giant; but the moment arms could be procured, the manacles would fall from her hands and she would take as proud a position as any State had taken.

I said my mission to the South was to procure arms; that I was accredited for that purpose; that I never intended, as has been reported, that I was authorized to express the sentiments either of Gov. Magoffin or any one else. I spoke my own sentiments which I have expressed fully and freely, both North and South, privately and upon the stump, for the past ten years. I may have spoken too sanguine of the course of Kentucky; but it is strange that I should, born and raised in that

honored State, her honor and bravery never having been questioned, entertaining the greatest pride, as all the Kentuckians have done in their State? Having lived among the people of the South for fifteen years, experiencing unmistakable proofs of their generosity, honor, and patriotism, it is strange that I, a son of Kentucky, should wish to unite her destiny with those gallant sons of the South, whom I so much honor and love?

This, gentlemen, is a true statement of my agency in purchasing those arms, about which so much has been said. And for this my movements are watched and reported by the contemptible spies about Frankfort. I had no motive but to serve my State and a friend, (Gov. Magoffin.) I have known him long, and shall always feel honored by his confidence. I have no wish to take Kentucky out of the Federal Union.

When the Southern Confederacy shall be recognized by the European powers, and even the dilapidated Government of Lincoln and Scott, every true Southerner knows that Kentucky will go where justice and honor calls, where sympathy and interest invite—to the gallant South. Respectfully,

L. P. BLACKBURN.

We clip the following items of Missouri intelligence from the St. Louis Republican of the 17th. Missouri appears to be in a condition of helplessness; but we predict that she will rise with the spirit and might of a young giant and repel the invading hosts of the Usurper:

THE ESCAPE OF THE GOVERNOR.—At our latest advices, Gov. Jackson was at Booneville, with a retinue of Government and other officers, and making preparations for a battle there. He had sent messengers to different counties, urging the immediate presence of the State Guard there, all unprepared as they are for battle, and two companies had responded from Boone county—one from Columbia and the other from Sturgeon. From Gallaway we hear of the movement of two companies, and even a much greater number are said to be on the march. Howard, Saline, Pettis, Lafayette, and other counties, will no doubt respond to the call, but in what force it is yet too early to determine. Meanwhile, Gov. Lyon and his force will push forward as rapidly as possible, with a view to encounter the Governor, and capture him with as little loss of life as possible. It is not improbable that an engagement may come off to-day. There are some brass pieces of cannon at Booneville, but, with the exception of Col. Kelly's command, the State troops must be poorly supplied with arms or ammunition. Brave men as they are, they must necessarily fight at great disadvantage when encountering regular troops, of all arms of the service, and a larger body of men, better prepared in every way for battle. As things now look, all the important points in the State will be occupied by United States troops in a week's time.

MOVEMENTS OF TROOPS.—Col. B. Gratz Brown's regiment of Home Guards took passage on the Pacific cars yesterday, for Rolla, and thence it is probable, they will march to Springfield. This regiment, therefore, will have an opportunity of experiencing something of "actual service in Missouri." The whole number of troops sent out on the Southern Branch, up to last evening, was about 3,200, that if Ben. McCulloch and his Arkansas people have any disposition to try their mettle, the occasion will not be wanting.

Two or three artillery companies are attached to the expedition. Gov. Jackson has abandoned Jefferson City, having with several of the State officers, arrived at Booneville at 4 o'clock of the 14th. It is said that he will make his stand there, and fortify it as well as his means will permit in the brief space allotted to him. Another report, however, just as reliable, says he will move the government to Arrow Rock, in Saline county, and try the chances of war there. As he did not stay at Jefferson City to defend the Capital, after all his anxiety and affectation of patriotism, is a matter yet to be explained. It does not look well to have abandoned it without striking a blow.

Brigadier General Shuck, and several other prominent Unionists, were arrested at Chillicothe by Col. Curtis, at the head of one of the Iowa regiments. This regiment was on its way to St. Joseph. The prisoners were to be taken to Fort Leavenworth.

Gov. Jackson was at Booneville at 8 o'clock, Monday. The Governor had a body guard of 120 men with him. The Governor afterward left Booneville and moved towards Arkansas.

[Special to the New York Commercial.] WASHINGTON, June 17.

Secretary Chase is busily engaged in conference with eminent financiers and commercial men in relation of the wants of the Treasury department. It is believed that he will report in favor of the revision of the tariff, a reduction of duty on some articles, and the abolition of the free list.

The Baltimore and Ohio Railroad will be opened for through travel in a few weeks.

Mr. Calvert, the Union candidate for Congress in the Sixth District of Maryland, is in town to-day, and says he is elected, without a doubt.

Dr. Richards, of this city, the family physician of the President, has been taken prisoner by the Virginia rebels, and conveyed to jail in Richmond.

The National Intelligencer of this morning says unless it receives the aid of new subscribers from the North, it will soon be obliged to suspend.

No indication has yet been given by the Administration in regard to the course to be pursued toward the privateers captured on Saturday. The prevailing impression is that they will be hung, though it is feared that, in retaliation, many good Union men will be made to suffer.

St. Louis, June 17.—As part of Col. Kallman's Regiment of Reserve Corps were returning from the North Missouri Railroad at about 1 o'clock this morning, when opposite the Recorder's Court Room, on Seventh street, between Oliver and Locust, a company near the rear of the column suddenly wheeled and discharged their rifles, aiming chiefly at the windows of the Recorder's Court and second story of the adjoining house, killing four citizens, mortally wounding two, and slightly injuring one. Statements regarding the cause of the firing are very conflicting; one being that a pistol-shot fired from the window of the house corner of Seventh and Locust took the shoulder of one of the captains when he gave the order to fire. Another that a soldier accidentally discharged his rifle in the ranks, at which the whole company became frightened and fired a full volley at the crowd on the sidewalk and in the windows of the houses. The Recorder's Court was in session and crowded with prisoners and spectators. Police officer Pratt was shot in the side and died in ten minutes. Deputy Marshall Frazer received three shots in his legs, and will undoubtedly die.

From Washington.

[Special to the New York Tribune.] WASHINGTON, June 16.—The President is working on his message. He will take the highest ground in favor of prosecuting the war with the utmost vigor and finishing it by winter, if possible, recommending a call for half a million of men, and appropriating \$200,000,000.

Gov. Sprague, of Rhode Island, will be tendered a Major Generalship.

The Affair at Bethel

[Special Dispatch to Nashville Union & American.] RICHMOND, June 13.—Magruder received a flag of truce from the enemy, asking permission to bury their dead, which he readily granted. A proposition to exchange civilian secessionist prisoners for their armed men was declined.

Magruder says in his official report of the engagement that the enemy's force consisted of three thousand five hundred troops, and the Confederate force of thirteen hundred troops.

Our loss is one killed and seven wounded. Dr. Richards, Lincoln's private physician, was arrested at Manassas as a spy.

Thirteen prisoners of war arrived in Richmond to-day.

THE GREAT SOUTHERN & WESTERN REMEDY.

DR. GATES' ELECTRO-MAGNETIC PASTELS & PILLS.

For the Speedy and Permanent Cure of Spinal Weakness, Neurasthenia, and Nervous Disorders, Headache, Dizziness, and General Debility, Insomnia, and all Diseases arising from Spinal Defects, Excesses, and Indulgences.

THERE are thousands of YOUNG MEN, as well as MIDDLE AGED and OLD MEN, who are suffering to some extent from the above diseases. Many, perhaps, are not aware of their true condition, or when assistance is really needed.

For the benefit of such, we herewith give a few of the most common symptoms, viz: Weakness of the Back and Limbs, Pain in the Head and Side, Dizziness, Sight, and Deafness, and all the symptoms of the Brain, Spinal Cord, and Nerves, such as Headache, Depression of Spirits, Aversion to Society, Self-Distrust, Timidity, etc. For each and all of the above symptoms these remedies will be found a "Sovereign Remedy."

These remedies embrace three prescriptions: A box of *Pastels*, a box of *Pills*, and a box of *Viola Tonic Pills*, all of which have important effects to produce, and should be used together in every case, their superiority over other modes of treatment may be briefly stated as follows:

1. They diminish the violence of sexual excitement.

2. They immediately arrest nocturnal and diurnal emissions.

3. They remove local weakness, causing the organs to assume their natural tone and vigor.

4. They strengthen the constitution by overcoming nervous debility and general weakness.

5. They relieve the spirits, which are usually depressed, by expelling all exciting causes from the system.

6. By their invigorating properties they restore the patient to his natural health and vigor of manhood.

7. They cure when all other means have failed.

8. They contain no Mercury, no Opium, or any thing that can in any event prove injurious.

9. They are pleasant to use, and will not interfere with the patient's usual business or pleasure.

10. They can be used without suspicion, or knowledge of even a room-mate.

11. They may be used within the reach of all, we have fixed the price of the *Pastels* at \$1 per box, and the *Pills* at 50 cents per box each. In ordering by mail, in addition to the price, twelve cents in stamps should be enclosed for return postage.

LADIES in want of a safe and effective remedy for *Leucorrhoea*, or any disease peculiar to the *Menstrues*, or any disease, peculiar to the *Female*, should use *Dr. GATES' ELECTRO-MAGNETIC PILLS*. Price, 50 cents per box, by mail, \$1 and two stamps.

CAUTION.—These Pills should not be used in pregnancy, as miscarriage will be the consequence.

LADIES who, from ill-health, deformity, or any other humane and reasonable cause, deem it necessary to avoid increased family, can do so without incurring danger in health or constitution by the use of *Dr. GATES' ELECTRO-MAGNETIC PILLS*.

These Pills can only be obtained by addressing the General Agents, as below.

Address: J. N. HARRIS & CO., General Agents, Louisville, Ky.

Dr. MERWIN'S FEVER & AGUE PILLS.

For the permanent cure of Fever and Ague, Chills, Fever Congestive Chills, Remittent Fever, Bilious Fever, Dumb Ague, and all periodical diseases that have their origin in the miasmatic effluvia arising from decayed vegetation.

THESE "PILLS" never fail to cure all of the above named Fevers, and what is better, they also act as a PREVENTIVE, if taken occasionally, or daily, while exposed to the infection. Hence the old adage, "ALL OTHER PREVENTIVES are worth a pound of cure."

Dr. MERWIN'S Fever and Ague Pills, are the only ALL OTHER CHILL MEDICINES, in the following particulars:

1. They never fail to perform a speedy and permanent cure.

2. They are recommended only for one class of diseases.

3. They are agreeable and convenient to take.

4. They contain no poisonous minerals, being purely vegetable.

5. They do not impair the organic functions of the stomach or any part of the system.

6. They require no other medicine to prepare the system for their reception, or afterwards to allay irritation.

It Cures Diptheria, and is Everybody's Friend.

PERRY DAVIS' VEGETABLE PAIN KILLER.

THE GREAT FAMILY MEDICINE OF THE AGE. WE ask the attention of the trade and the public to this long established and successful FAMILY MEDICINE.

For the cure of Colds, Coughs, Weak Stomach, and General Debility, Indigestion, Cramp, and Pain Stomach, Bowel Complaint, Colic, Diarrhea, Cholera, &c., &c.

Sore Throat and Diptheria. Is soon relieved by Gargling the Throat with mixture of Pain Killer and water.

And for Fever and Ague. There is nothing better. It has been favorably known for more than twenty years, and is the ONLY SURE SPECIFIC.

For the many diseases incident to the human family. Internally and Externally. It works equally sure.

What stronger proof of these facts can be produced than the following letter received unsolicited from Rev. A. W. Curtis:

MESSRS. J. N. HARRIS & CO.,
Bloomington, Mich., July 9, 1860.

Gentlemen:—The confidence I have in Perry Davis' Pain Killer as a remedy for Colds, Coughs, Burns, Sprains, and Rheumatism, for the cure of which have successfully used it, induces me to cheerfully recommend its virtues to others.

A few months ago I had recourse to it to destroy a felon; although I had never heard of its being used for that purpose; but having suffered intensely from a felon, and having no other remedy at hand, I applied the Pain Killer freely for ten or fifteen minutes at evening, and repeated the application very briefly the next morning, which entirely destroyed the felon, and increased my confidence in the utility of the remedy.

Yours truly, A. W. CURTIS.
Minister of the Wesleyan Methodist Church.

THE PAIN KILLER. Has been tested in every variety of climate, and by almost every nation known to Americans. It is the almost constant companion, and inestimable friend of the missionary and the traveler, on sea and land, and no one should travel on our LAKES or RIVERS without it.

Be sure you call for and get the genuine Pain Killer, as many worthless nostrums are attempted to be put on the great reputation of this valuable medicine.

Directions accompany each bottle. Sold by Dealers everywhere. Price 25 cts. per bottle. J. N. HARRIS & CO., Cincinnati, O.

Proprietors for the Western and Southern States.

Sole Wholesale and Retail by J. N. HARRIS & CO., Cincinnati, O.

J. N. HARRIS & CO., Cincinnati, O.

Dr. MERWIN'S FEVER & AGUE PILLS.

For the permanent cure of Fever and Ague, Chills, Fever Congestive Chills, Remittent Fever, Bilious Fever, Dumb Ague, and all periodical diseases that have their origin in the miasmatic effluvia arising from decayed vegetation.

